

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,827	09/26/2001	Bruce A. Sullenger	180/124/2	1175
25297 759		EXAMINER		
JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A. 3100 TOWER BLVD SUITE 1200 DURHAM, NC 27707			MCGARRY, SEAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	HS	04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/963,827	SULLENGER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sean R. McGarry	1635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	oril 2006					
		action is non-final.					
′=	,						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 又	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,12, 13,15,17,20-26,73-89,91,118,119 and 121-181</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-26 and 121-152</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	✓ Claim(s) 1,4-6,12,13,20,73-91,119,154 and 156-181 is/are rejected.						
_	<u> </u>						
,	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
				·			
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
		animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F	10-132.			
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
. /	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A44- 4							
Attachmen		4. □ • • • • • •	(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 因 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/4/06;10/16/06;12/21/06</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/963,827

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 4-6, 12, 20, 73-91, 119, and 154 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a written description rejection.

The claimed invention is drawn RNA aptamers to the coagulation pathway factor IXa which contain stem and loop structures and a consensus sequence.

The specification discloses SEQ ID NOS: 1-22, which correspond to RNA aptamers that bind to IXa. SEQ ID NOS: 1-22 and those with modifications and truncates thereof (SEQ ID NO:70, for example) meet the written description provisions of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. The specification provides insufficient written description to support the genus encompassed by the claims. The claims are so broad as to read on any RNA aptamer of IXa that contain a consensus sequence and stems and loops. The specification provides only examples of RNA aptamers with a specific

structure with a consensus sequence, for example. The instant amended claims do not, as applicant asserts provide for a specific structure associated with a function. It is noted that there is no context provided in the claims for the stems and loops recited. It is noted, for example, that the aptamers of Figures 15, 30, and 21 could be construed as containing the required stems and loops. It appears that applicant is directing the context to the structure shown in Figure 20, but the claims do not require such a context and such a context will not be assumed.

The RNA aptamers of SEQ ID NO: 1-22 were found via a method of screening. The specification does not provide any teachings at to what nucleic acid sequences or secondary structures would be or would have been expected of the aptamers, for example. The compounds of SEQ ID NOS:1-22 contain members of different sequence and secondary structure where there a disclosed core structure that imparts the function of binding to IXa is not required of the claimed invention. The specification provides only a method that one might employ to find other aptamers. Aptamers bind to there target via interactions of secondary structures and chemical properties of the aptamer and target. The specification does not provide any description of what these particular interactions are for any particular aptamers or for a sufficient number of species such that one in the art would know what structure would be expected to provide for the specific activity of binding IXa as claimed. The specification only provides a number of method to find other potential aptamers.

<u>Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</u>, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought,

Application/Control Number: 09/963,827

Art Unit: 1635

he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See <u>Vas-Cath</u> at page 1116.)

With the exception of the RNA aptamers of SEQ ID NOS: 1-22 (and modifications and truncations thereof), the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed nucleic acid aptamers, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it. The nucleic acid itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016. In Fiddes v. Baird, 30 USPQ2d 1481, 1483, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found unpatentable due to lack of written description for the broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Finally, <u>University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.</u>, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1404, 1405 held that:

...To fulfill the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe an invention and do so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that "the inventor invented the claimed invention." *Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc.*, 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (1997); In *re Gosteli*, 872 F.2d 1008, 1012, 10 USPQ2d 1614, 1618 (Fed. Cir. 1989) ("

recognize that [the inventor] invented what is claimed."). Thus, an applicant complies with the written description requirement "by describing the invention, with all its claimed limitations, not that which makes it obvious," and by using "such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that set forth the claimed invention." *Lockwood*, 107 F.3d at 1572, 41 USPQ2d at 1966.

An adequate written description of a DNA, such as the cDNA of the recombinant plasmids and microorganisms of the '525 patent, "requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties," not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention. *Fiers v. Revel*, 984 F.2d 1164, 1171, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Accordingly, "an adequate written description of a DNA requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it; what is required is a description of the DNA itself." Id. at 1170, 25 USPQ2d at 1606.

The name cDNA is not itself a written description of that DNA; it conveys no distinguishing information concerning its identity. While the example provides a process for obtaining human insulin-encoding cDNA, there is no further information in the patent pertaining to that cDNA's relevant structural or physical characteristics; in other words, it thus does not describe human insulin cDNA. Describing a method of preparing a cDNA or even describing the protein that the

cDNA encodes, as the example does, does not necessarily describe the cDNA itself. No sequence information indicating which nucleotides constitute human cDNA appears in the patent, as appears for rat cDNA in Example 5 of the patent. Accordingly, the specification does not provide a written description of the invention of claim 5.

Therefore, the claimed invention fails to meet the written description provision of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. The species specifically disclosed are not representative of the genus because the genus is highly variant. Applicant is reminded that <u>Vas-Cath</u> makes clear that the written description provision of 35 USC 112 is severable from its enablement provision. (See page 1115.) Applicant's disclosure of methods of finding potential aptamers to IXa does not substitute for an actual description of the compounds claimed. The required stems and loops and consensus sequence recited in the claim are without context and do not provide for some specific structure related to a function.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/4/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended the independent claims to recite structural attributes but provide no context for their arrangement, for example. The claims do not provide for any particular structure, for example, but provide for a genus of potential structures that may have the components recited in the claims. The new limitations are not sufficient to overcome a rejection under 112 first paragraph written description as set forth above. The instant amended claims do not, as applicant asserts provide for a specific structure associated with a function. It is noted that there is no context provided

in the claims for the stems and loops recited. It is noted, for example, that the aptamers of Figures 15, 30, and 21 could be construed as containing the required stems and loops. It appears that applicant is directing the context to the structure shown in Figure 20, but the claims do not require such a context and such a context will not be assumed.

Claim 153 and 155 are objected to for containing non-elected subject matter.

Claims 15, 17 and 118 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13 and 156-180 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 13, 156 and 180 all recite ". . . the group consisting of SEQ ID NO:70 and SEQ ID NO: 3, or a truncate thereof" This language leaves the claims vague and indefinite since SEQ ID NO: 70 is defined in the specification as being a truncate of SEQ ID NO: 3. It is unclear then what the metes and bound of the claim is when the term "truncate" is used the above context.

Claim 179 recites "wherein the first stem region. . ." there is no antecedent basis for this limitation in the context of the claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R. McGarry whose telephone number is (571) 272-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Douglas Schultz can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sean R McGarry Primary Examiner Art Unit 1635